FEGP COMMITTEE/ARAC COMMITTEE – JOINT MEETING DRAFT MINUTES (25.11.2024)

The Sheff Colleg	Construction of the second	DRAFT MINUTES (25.11.2024)				
Date:			r of Gove	rnance		
			le Road			
Venue:		Boardroom, City Campus Sheffield, S2 2RL				
		Tel: 0114 260 26		520		
		Email :				
D			noldswor	th@sheffc	<u>01.ac.uk</u>	
Present:		Ian Falconer (Chair of ARAC and Chair of joint meeting)				
		Matthew Ainsworth (Vice Chair of ARAC)				
		Sol Miah (member of ARAC)				
		Adrian Abdulla (Staff governor and member of ARAC)				
		Stephan Hollingshead (Chair of FEGP) Peter Brooks (Vice Chair of FEGP)				
		John Mothersole, (Chair of GB and member of FEGP)				
		Angela Foulkes, (Chief Executive and Principal and member of FEGP)				
		Holly Anderson, (Staff governor and member of FEGP)				
In attenda	nce:	Martin Harrison, Executive Director Finance (EDF)				
		Andrew Hartley, Deputy Chief Executive (DCE) and Executive Director Commercial				
		and Operations (EDCO)				
		Paul Simpson, Executive Director People (EDP)				
		Lisa Smith, Risk Assurance Director, RSM UK Risk Assurance Services LLP				
		Stuart McKay, Partner, MHA LLP				
		Jean Tracy, Interim Director of Governance				
		Anne-Marie Holdsworth, Director of Governance				
				Action		
				by whom	by when	
24/4/1	Welco	me and declarations of interest				
1.1		30 members of Audit and Risk Assurance Committee (AR	-			
	Internal Auditor, and other members of the ET joined the meeting. The					
	Chair of ARAC and MHA Partner were already present as they had					
		ved the Finance, Employment and General Purposes Com	imittee			
1 0) prior to the joint session.	h o			
1.2		nair of FEGP handed over chairing of the joint session to t				
		Chair of ARAC, who welcomed members of both committees and others. It was noted that all members of Governing Body had been				
		to be present to receive the financial statements' audit				
		and raise any queries about the process or the matters i				
	-	should they have any.				
		rector of Governance (DG) reported apologies from Sara	h			
		on and Paul Senior and confirmed that both committees				
	quorat	te.				
1.3					1	
1.5	There	were no relevant interests declared by members of ARA	C. The			

	(CEP) was a director of college subsidiary companies would not be	
	eligible to vote in any resolution concerning the company accounts.	
1.4	The Chair advised that the order of business would be as per the	
1.4		
	agenda, apart for Item 20 which would be brought forward to within	
	Item 15, to enable a single discussion regarding fraud. There were no	
24/4/2	confidential items within the joint meeting.	
24/4/2	Chair's Comments	
2.1	The Chair reminded the members of FEGP and ARAC of	
	i) The purpose of the joint meeting:	
	a) for all governors to be satisfied that the draft report and	
	financial statements for 2023-2024 properly present the	
	college's financial position and that of its subsidiaries, that the	
	various formal statements in the report are accurate, and that	
	the corporation remains a going concern	
	b) for members to be satisfied that a proper, independent	
	external audit of the accounts has been completed	
	c) based on the above, to advise Governing Body (GB) that the	
	accounts be formally adopted and the various representations	
	sought by auditors be given on behalf of GB by the Chair of	
	Governors and the Chief Executive and Principal (CEP), as	
	Accounting Officer.	
	ii) The respective roles of the two committees, being:	
	a) FEGP shall comment on the accuracy of the figures and the	
	application of proper accounting policies;	
	b) ARAC appoints the external auditor and ensures a proper audit	
	has been carried out;	
	iii) Both committees confirm the draft annual Members' Report,	
	including the Statement of Responsibilities of the Members of the	
	Corporation and Statement of Regularity, Propriety and	
	Compliance, is consistent with their knowledge;	
	iv) ARAC agrees the draft Statement of Corporate Governance &	
	Internal Control;	
	v) ARAC considers any control issues arising from the audit.	
	The Chair further stated that a joint meeting of the two committees is	
	recognised as best practice as:	
	It allows FEGP colleagues to hear from the auditors directly	
	It is much more effective for the Executive.	
24/4/3	Accounting Estimates	
3.1	The Executive Director of Finance (EDF) presented the accounting	
	estimates, advising that nothing had changed in respect of them since	
	last presented at the FEGP meeting of 18 September 2024.	
3.2	It was noted that FEGP Committee had <u>advised Governing Body</u> that it	-
	could approve the basis of the various accounting estimates used in	
	the financial statements for 2023-2024.	

24/4/4	Final Draft Report of the Members of the Corporation and Financial Statements for the 12-month period from 1 August 2023 to 31 July 2024	
4.1	The EDF introduced a covering paper summarising the year's results, highlighting that performance had been strong, and of which there were no questions.	
4.2	The Chair then took members through the various sections of the Draft Report and Financial Statements, advising before doing so that members should confine comments to anything on the document and not to drafting suggestions or grammar corrections which would be picked up by the auditors and the ET.	
4.3	 Strategic Report Members discussed the strategic report and raised the following points: Could FTE data as well as headcount be included as the current presentation implied that less than 50% of the college's resources were teachers; 	
	 Could details be amended to refer to 5 campuses rather than 4. Pennine 5 needed to be mentioned as it was later referred to; Could the wording 'balance that will need resolving' be redrafted as it implied a problem with the subsidiary companies; Regarding the gender pay gap, there was an inaccuracy to be corrected as women's pay was not 10.6% of men's; Nothing was mentioned regarding sustainability or the green agenda. The external audit partner said that the college was encouraged to put this on the website rather than in the statements. 	
4.4	Based on the discussion, FEGP Committee and ARAC <u>agreed</u> the draft Strategic Report, subject to discussion of going concern and any post balance sheet events and <u>commended it for adoption</u> by the GB.	
4.5	Going Concern The committees discussed the proposition in the draft report that the college is a going concern. Members noted the EDF's summary of stress testing and his advice at p 3 and 4 of Item 15 that the college remains a going concern, along with his assurance in the cash flow statement at Appendix B, which showed cash remains at a healthy position. They commented on the wording 'has access to adequate resources and the external audit partner agreed to update this to 'has access to adequate resources coming through'.	
4.6	FEGP Commitee <u>agreed</u> that it was content to <u>advise GB</u> that the college remained a going concern.	
4.7	Statement of Corporate Governance and Internal Control The Chair advised that the statement of corporate governance and internal control (Appendix A, p22-37) would be considered more fully in a subsequent part of the ARAC meeting, however, for now FEGP Committee and ARAC needed to consider the Board's assessment of controls to manage the risk of fraud, as the external auditor required express representation on that point.	

		1	
	Accordingly, the Executive had prepared an assessment (Item 15,		
	Appendix C) and members were asked to link their consideration of		
	this assessment to ARAC's annual review of the Fraud Strategy (Item		
	20) as, in the previous year, they had asked for the following:		
	 That the Executive's assessment be linked to the Strategy more clearly; and 		
	Progress on strengthening fraud controls to be tracked		
4.8	It was noted that the Fraud Strategy Update (Item 20) showed that the		
	Anti-fraud Policy had been amended to reflect changes in		
	requirements that frauds over £5,000 must now be reported to the		
	DfE, rather than the previous requirement which had been for those		
	over £10,000.		
4.9	ARAC approved the revision to the Fraud Strategy.		
4.10	FEGP Committee and ARAC agreed they were content to advise GB		
	that the Fraud Risk Assessment (Item 15, appendix 2) was an accurate		
	assessment of fraud risk.		
4.11	Statement of responsibilities of members of the corporation		
	The EDF confirmed that there had been no material changes this year.		
	FEGP and ARAC agreed to recommend approval to GB of the		
	Statement of Responsibilities of Members of the Corporation, which in		
	their view was accurate.		
4.12	Financial Statements and Notes to Accounts		
	The committees considered the financial statements and notes. It was		
	noted that there were some minor issues, namely that the balance		
	sheet did not balance, and greater clarity was needed in respect of the		
	pay awards totalling 6.5%. Regarding the first of these, this was a		
	rounding up issue which the auditors would take care of and in terms		
	of the second point, this would be stated at two pay awards totalling		
	6.5%.		
4.13	FEGP committee agreed the Financial Statements and Notes and		
	commended them for adoption by GB.		
4.14	Actions:		
	EDF to review whether FTE data as well as headcount could be	EDF	ASAP
	included in the Strategic Report		
	Details be amended to refer to 5 campuses rather than 4 in the	EDF	ASAP
	Strategic Report		
	• The wording 'balance that will need resolving' be redrafted in the	MHA	ASAP
	Strategic Report		
	 Inaccuracy regarding the gender pay gap to be corrected as 	EDF	ASAP
	women's pay was not 10.6% of men's		
	The wording 'has access to adequate resources to be updated to	MHA	ASAP
	'has access to adequate resources coming through'.		
	The balance sheet to be amended in the Financial Statements	MHA	ASAP
	Wording regarding the pay award to be amended in the financial		
	statements to show two pay awards totalling 6.5%	MHA	ASAP
24/4/5	Financial statements of the college's subsidiary companies		
5.1	The committees received the droft econunts for the college's three		
ז.ר	The committees received the draft accounts for the college's three		
	wholly owned subsidiary companies (Sparks Teaching Services Ltd, Sparks Managed Services Ltd and Sparks Solutions Ltd) which the		
	Sparks Managed Services Ltd and Sparks Solutions Ltd), which the		
	company directors were due to approve and sign on 22 November.		

5.2	The EDF introduced his covering paper in relation to the financial		
	statements for the subsidiary companies, highlighting that SSL was		
	now being laid dormant and was not a going concern.		
5.3	Subject to the approval of company accounts by the relevant Board of		
	Directors, FEGP agreed the financial statements for the three		
	companies for incorporation into the College Group accounts and		
	commended them for adoption by GB.		
24/4/6	External Audit Highlights Report 2023/2024		
6.1	The External Auditor presented the draft report to the committees		
	and members of the joint meeting. He highlighted the audit risks and		
	the external audit opinion, explaining that they were issuing an		
	unqualified opinion for the college, but that for SSL it would be		
	marked in the report that the subsidiary company would become		
	dormant in 2025 and so would not be a going concern. Additionally, in		
	terms of audit findings, the external auditor commented that there		
	were no high priority (grade A) recommendations but there were		
	some advisory points.		
6.2	FEGP Committee and ARAC noted the auditor's comments, <u>received</u>		
	the report, and concluded the following:		
	• MHA had conducted the financial statements' audit and regularity		
	audit according to the audit strategy agreed by ARAC and in line		
	with the fees agreed by GB, remaining independent;		
	• the proposed opinions were appropriate;		
	• that both committees welcomed the assurance given by the		
	proposed clean audit reports on the college and the three		
	subsidiary companies, and the regularity opinion;		
	• FEGP Committee and ARAC welcomed the improvement in		
	presentation of the statements achieved through correction of		
	misstatements and some disclosures.		
6.3	ARAC agreed that it was content to report assurance to the Governing		
	Body.		
24/4/7	Financial Statements Regularity Self-Assessment Questionnaire 2023-		
	2024		
7.1	The chair of ARAC presented the report, explaining that the		
	information in the questionnaire guides completion of Statement of		
	Regularity, Propriety and Compliance in the Annual Report that the		
	Chair and CEP, as Accounting Officer, must sign.		
	ARAC had already seen this version of the self-assessment at its		
	meeting on 2 October 2024, when members:		
	• Noted the significant amount of work involved in completing it,		
	including the new questions this year (which had helpfully been		
	highlighted in green)		
	Approved the draft self-assessment, subject to some minor		
	updates, and recommended signing by the Chief Executive and		
	Principal, and the Chair.		
7.2	FEGP <u>noted</u> the Regularity Questionnaire, it was further noted that the		
	external auditor had confirmed no matters arose from its review and a		
	clean regularity opinion was proposed. Both ARAC and FEGP		

	welcomed the assurance provided by the proposed unqualified		
	Regularity Opinion arising from the Regularity Audit.		
7.3	The committees <u>advised</u> that the completed Regularity Questionnaire		
	was suitable for signature by the Accounting Officer and Chair of		
	Governors, on behalf of GB.		
24/4/8	Management Representation Letter 2023-2024		
8.1	The Chair introduced the management representation letter		
	explaining its purpose and the representations sought by the External		
	Auditor. The External Auditor commented that there was one matter		
	concerning related parties which would be resolved and the note on this removed.		
8.2	Based on the discussions, the members of ARAC and FEGP agreed to		
	advise GB that it should confirm the representations sought by the		
	External Auditor including that:		
	• the accounts presented a true and fair view of The Sheffield		
	College and had been prepared on a going concern basis		
	• there were no significant post balance sheet events that members		
	knew of to report		
	 accounting estimates were reasonable 		
	 members believed the going concern basis was appropriate 		
	• members were not aware of any frauds or allegations that had not		
	already been disclosed to the External Auditor		
	 members were not aware of any related party disclosures in 		
	respect of key management personnel which had not been		
	declared already		
8.3	Members were satisfied with the Statement on Regularity, Propriety		
	and Compliance and advised GB to authorize the Chair of Governors		
	and Chief Executive and Principal (Accounting Officer) to sign this on		
0.4	behalf of GB, subject to the related party matter being resolved.		
8.4	Action:		AC 4 D
	 Related party transaction process to be improved to ensure annual returns completed with greater timeliness and consistency 	EDF/DG	ASAP
24/4/9			
24/4/9	Concluding Remarks		
9.1	The Chair asked if there were any other comments on the audit, of		
	which there were none. The Chair commented that:		
	ARAC would be considering the detailed internal control matters		
	in their meeting which followed;		
	• this was the third year working with the external auditor MHA and		
	it was pleasing that the process had run smoothly despite some		
	changes in staffing at the college.		
9.2	The committees recorded thanks to the EDF and the finance team for		
	preparation of the financial statements and to external auditors on		
	their conduct of the audit process. The Chair recorded thanks to FEGP		
	members.		
9.3	The joint meeting concluded at 10.15, at which point FEGP committee		
	members withdrew leaving those in attendance for the ARAC meeting		
	to immediately follow.		